Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/663,463	POULSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael C Astorino	3736
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) Michael C Astorino.	(3)	
(2) Marc A. Began.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 November 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: prior art rejections and specification objections		
Claims discussed: All pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed: Castellano et al. and Worthington et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the second content of the second co	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•		
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/663,463

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the applicant of all infirmities with the specification and allowable subject matter in the claims. The applicant agreed to make changes via faxed preliminary amendment to allow the case. See Faxed amendment and Reasons for Allowance for more details.